LETTER

Un-edited accepted proof

Physiological dead space ventilation, disease severity and outcome in ventilated patients with hypoxaemic respiratory failure due to Coronavirus Disease 2019

Authors

Francesco Vasques¹, Barnaby Sanderson¹, Federico Formenti^{2,4}, Manu Shankar-Hari^{1,3}, Luigi Camporota^{1,2}

*Luigi Camporota and Manu Shankar-Hari are to be considered joint last (senior) authors

Affiliations

¹Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's Health Partners. London SE1 7EH, UK
 ICU support Offices, 1st Floor, East Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, SE1 7EH, UK;
 ²Centre of Human Applied Physiological Sciences, King's College London, London, UK;
 ³School of Immunology & Microbial Sciences, Kings College London, SE1 9RT;
 4Nuffield Division of Anaesthetics, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford

DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06197-x

Corresponding author:

Dr Luigi Camporota Email: <u>luigi.camporota@gstt.nhs.uk</u> Department of Adult Critical Care, St Thomas' Hospital, 1st Floor East Wing, Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7EH, United Kingdom

Abbreviations: AHRF, COVID-19, ICU, PaO₂/FiO₂, CRP

Funding/Support

Dr Shankar-Hari is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Clinician Scientist Award (CS-2016-16-011). Dr Federico Formenti is supported by the National Institute of Health Research i4i (NIHR200681). The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care.

The authors' COI: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Vasques et al. Physiological dead space ventilation, disease severity and outcome in ventilated patients with hypoxaemic respiratory failure due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 Intensive Care Medicine (2020). DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06197-x

LETTER

Un-edited accepted proof

Dear Editor,

The severity of acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) in COVID-19 correlates poorly with lung weight and lung mechanics, leading to the proposal of phenotypes that may be associated with similar degree of hypoxaemia but different lung volume, weight and compliance[1]. The alteration of the pulmonary vascular tone and immune-thrombosis of the alveolar capillaries[2] may account for these pathophysiological characteristics and for the high physiological dead space observed in these patients.

To describe estimated indices of physiological dead space - and their association with respiratory mechanics, severity of hypoxaemia, biomarkers and outcomes - we performed a retrospective analysis of adult patients with COVID-19 respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation in four medical Intensive Care Units (ICU) within Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Trust – London-UK (Ethics reference: 10796). We used the recorded values at the time of worst PaO_2/FiO_2 observed on the day of critical care admission. Continuous variables were compared using Mann-Whitney U test. This cohort included 213 patients (73% males) mean [95%CI] age 56 [54-57] years and PaO_2/FiO_2 128 [121-135] mmHg. When subdivided in four groups based on cut-off PaO_2/FiO_2 of 150 mmHg and compliance of 40 mL/cmH₂O; 72% (n=154) had PaO_2/FiO_2 <150mmHg, of these 112 (73%, or 53% of the overall cohort) had compliance <40 mL/cmH₂O (eTable 1). The mean [95%CI] estimated physiological dead space fraction[3] was high in the entire cohort at 0.53 [0.51-0.56].

ICU outcome was available for 193 patients, where estimated physiological dead space fraction was higher in non-survivors (median [IQR], 0.57 [0.46-0.65] vs 0.5 [0.4-0.64]; p=0.03). All estimates of physiological dead space increased with the degree of hypoxaemia, but not with the reduction in lung compliance (Figure 1). Compared with patients with PaO₂/FiO₂ >150mmHg, patients with PaO₂/FiO₂ <150mmHg had higher estimated physiological dead space fraction (0.55 [0.52-0.57] vs 0.50 [0.47-0.53]; p=0.036) (Figure 1A), mean [95%CI] corrected minute volume[4] (9.3 [8.8-9.7] vs 8.2 [7.6-8.8] L/min; p=0.004) (Figure 1B), and ventilatory ratio[5] (1.43 [1.37-1.50) vs 1.29 [1.20-1.38]; p=0.001)

Vasques et al. Physiological dead space ventilation, disease severity and outcome in ventilated patients with hypoxaemic respiratory failure due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 Intensive Care Medicine (2020). DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06197-x

LETTER

Un-edited accepted proof

(Figure 1C). Although patients with compliance <40 mL/cmH₂O had a higher corrected minute volume[4] (9.4 [8.8-10] vs 8.8 [8.4-9.3] L/min; p=0.023) (Figure 1C), there was no difference in the two compliance groups in estimated physiological dead space fraction (0.55 [0.48-0.56] vs 0.54 [0.52-0.56]; p=0.72) (Figure 1A) and ventilatory ratio[5] 1.4 [1.3-1.5) vs 1.4 [1.33-1.46]; p=0.76) (Figure 1B). Physiological dead space correlated with hypoxaemia, but it was dissociated from alterations in lung mechanics in COVID-19 ventilated patients. Immuno-thrombosis is a mechanism that may explain both the increase in physiological dead space and hypoxaemia. Interestingly, we found that the highest median [IQR] levels of D-Dimers (2.1 [1-7] mg/L); ferritin (1,627 [914 to 2,555] ug/L), CRP (219.5 [147.25, 324] mg/L), and troponin (23 [12-62]ng/L) were seen in patients with PaO₂/FiO₂ <150mmHg, but relatively preserved compliance (>40 mL/cmH₂O). However, these values were statistically similar to the ones recorded in the other groups.

In conclusion, these data suggest that increased physiological dead space is a characteristic of patients with COVID-19 AHRF, with no relation with compliance of the respiratory system. Given that both PaO₂/FiO₂ and physiological dead space are worse in non-survivors, it is unclear if dead space is independently associated with mortality or if its effect on outcome are mediated through hypoxaemia.

LETTER

Intensive Care Medicine

References

- Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, Busana M, Romitti F, Brazzi L, Camporota L, (2020) COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes? Intensive Care Med 46: 1099-1102
- Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, Vanstapel A, Werlein C, Stark
 H, Tzankov A, Li WW, Li VW, Mentzer SJ, Jonigk D, (2020) Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis,
 Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. N Engl J Med
- Beitler JR, Thompson BT, Matthay MA, Talmor D, Liu KD, Zhuo H, Hayden D, Spragg RG, Malhotra A,
 (2015) Estimating dead-space fraction for secondary analyses of acute respiratory distress syndrome clinical trials. Crit Care Med 43: 1026-1035
- 4. Wexler HR, Lok P, (1981) A simple formula for adjusting arterial carbon dioxide tension. Can Anaesth Soc J 28: 370-372
- Sinha P, Fauvel NJ, Singh S, Soni N, (2009) Ventilatory ratio: a simple bedside measure of ventilation.
 Br J Anaesth 102: 692-697

LETTER

Un-edited accepted proof

Figure 1: Distributions of dead space indices in the groups of compliance and PaO₂/FiO₂. A:

Physiological dead space Fraction; B: Ventilatory Ratio; C: Corrected minute ventilation.



eTable 1: Distribution of patients based on categories of compliance and PaO₂/FiO₂

	PaO₂/FiO₂ ≤ 150mmHg		PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ > 150mmHg	
n (%)	154 (72.3)		59 (27.7)	
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ , mmHg mean(95% Cl)	103 (98 – 107)		194 (185 – 204)	
Compliance ml/cmH ₂ O mean(95% Cl)	33.3 (31.0 – 35.6)		35.3 (31.5 - 39.1)	
	Compliance < 40 cmH ₂ O	Compliance ≥ 40 cmH ₂ O	Compliance < 40 cmH ₂ O	Compliance ≥ 40 cmH ₂ O
n (%)[cohort %]	112 (72.7)[52.6]	42 (27.3)[19.7]	42 (71.2)[19.7]	17 (28.8)[8]
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ , mmHg mean(95% Cl)	102 (97 - 107)	105 (97 – 114)	186 (176 – 197)	213 (193 – 233)
Compliance ml/cmH ₂ O mean(95% Cl)	26.2 (24.9 - 27.4)	52.2 (48.5 – 55.9)	27.8 (25.5 – 30.0)	53.9 (48.1 – 59.8)

eTable 1: Distribution of patients based on categories of compliance and PaO₂/FiO₂